UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,229	08/27/2003	Chung-Hui Chen	CHEN 3581/EM	4340
23364 BACON & TH	7590 08/08/2007 OMAS, PLLC		EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS	LANE	· .	SEYE, ABDOU K	
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
Office Action Summary		10/648,229	CHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Abdou Karim Seye	2194				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address	S			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	ay 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	a) accepted or b) condition of the drawing of the d	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stag	e			
<b>A A A A B B B B B B B B B B</b>	4/_}	·					
Attachmen  1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on May 11, 2007 has been received and entered. The amendment amended Claims 1-8 and cancelled claims 9-10. The currently pending claims considered below are Claims 1-8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roskind et al. (US 20040165546).
- Claim 1, Roskind teaches, A control method for setting up operation time of a wireless connection device, which is applied to a computer device comprising a wireless connection device and a driver program, wherein said driver program having has a built-in detection control software for setting a detection operation time of said wireless

Art Unit: 2194

connection device, such that when the computer device is booted, the computer device is enabled to proceed with processing comprising the steps of:

- (a) determining whether or not an internal clock of said computer device reaches a start of the detection operation time according to a predetermined value of the detection operation time by using said detection control software (Fig. 3, paragraph 31);
- (b) when the internal clock of said computer device reaches the start of the predetermined detection operation time, then enabling the driver program to activate said wireless connection device to detect within a limit distance of searching range and search for any wireless electronic device for online connection (Fig. 3, paragraph 31);
- (c) enabling the detection control software to determine whether or not the internal clock of said computer device reaches the end of the detection operation time according to the predetermined detection operation time (Fig. 3, paragraph 32); and
- (d) when the internal clock of said computer device reaches an end of the predetermined detection operation time, then enabling the drive program of said detection control software to shut down said wireless connection device (Fig. 3, paragraph 33).

Claim 2, <u>Roskind</u> teaches, wherein said detection operation time comprises an ON time and an OFF time, and the detection control software proceeds with processing comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2194

- (a) determining whether or not the internal clock of said computer device reaches the ON time (Fig. 3, paragraph 31; Fig. 5 :56; paragraph 34);
- (b) when the internal clock of said computer device reaches the ON time, enabling the driver program to activate said wireless connection device, whereby the wireless connection device starts its detection within the limit distance of searching range and searches for any wireless electronic device for online connection (Fig. 1; paragraph 28 31 and 32);
- (c) determining whether or not said wireless connection device being is connectible to any wireless electronic device (Fig. 1, paragraph 28);
- (d) when said wireless connection device being is not connectible to any wireless electronic device, determining whether or not the internal clock of said computer device reaches the OFF time (FIG. 5: 86; paragraph 34); and
- (e) when the internal clock of said computer device reaches the OFF time, enabling said driver program to shut down said wireless connection device (Fig. 3, paragraph 33).

As per Claims 3, Roskind teaches, wherein when said internal clock of said computer device has not reached the ON time, said detection control software will repeatedly determine whether or not the internal clock of said computer device has reached the ON

Art Unit: 2194

time until the internal clock of said computer device has reached the ON time (Paragraph 32).

As per claim 4-8, they are rejected for the same reason as the claims above.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Salokannel et al (20020022455). discloses a method for reducing the power consumption of a wireless terminal, a communication system and a wireless terminal.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Art Unit: 2194

Page 6

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

AKS August 1, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER